Pupil premium strategy statement (secondary)

1. Summary information							
School	The Duk	The Dukeries Academy					
Academic Year	2017/18	Total PP budget	£226,270	Date of most recent PP Review	Sept 17		
Total number of pupils	730	Number of pupils eligible for PP	289	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Jan 18		

2. Current attainment		
	Pupils eligible for PP (Dukeries)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)
% achieving 5A*-C/9-4 incl EM (2016- 2017) only	45.6%	ТВС
% achieving expected progress in English / Maths (2016-17 only)	50.9%	ТВС
Progress 8 score average	-0.43	ТВС
Attainment 8 score average	34.52	TBC

3	B. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)
In-se	chool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor literacy skills)
Α	Maths and English support/tuition availability outside the academy day
В	Literacy is a barrier to success
С	Levels of challenge need to be increased, in particular, for the most able boys
Exte	ernal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)
D	Low attendance is a barrier to making good progress

4.	. Outcomes							
	Desired outo	omes and how they will be	measured		Success crit	Success criteria		
Α	All key stage 4	PP students have good or be	tter teaching, and targeted, ti	mely intervention, as	0 Progress 8 s	0 Progress 8 score for current Y11 and targeted 0 for		
	appropriate. 1	The focus is on PP HAPs (boys)			current Y10			
В	Key stage 3 st	udents (with a priority on HAF	Accelerated p	rogress at key stage 3 evidenced through				
	or better teac	hing		GL assessmen	t			
С	Students atte	nd school regularly, and as a r		Attendance is	in line with the national			
D	Students have	e high aspirations and goals th	circumstances and will	All students h	ave experienced a visit that broadens			
	enhance their	future lives			their outlook			
5.	. Planned expe	enditure						
Acad	lemic year	2017 - 2018						
			emonstrate how they are us	ing the Pupil Premium to	improve class	sroom pedagogy, provide targeted		
sup i.	I	t whole school strategies. eaching for all						
Desire	ed outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure it is	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?		
			rationale for this choice?	implemented well?				
All key	y stage 4 PP	Whole academy priority	Under-performance of PP	Through appraisal	CL/MHa	On-going through the observation cycle		
studer	nts have good or	through improvement	HAPs, in particular PP HAP	targets.		and at each assessment point.		
better	r teaching, and	planning and CPD.	boys in summer of 2017	Whole staff CPD		During pupil progress meetings half		
target	ed, timely		This continues to be a			termly.		

intervention, as	Priority as a JPD CPD and	trend for the current Y11	Focus for observations		Through Governors' PP progress
appropriate. The	incorporated in to appraisal	cohort.	and work scrutiny		meetings.
focus is on PP HAPs	targets.	Identified as an area by			
(boys).	Maths and English targeted	OfSted Oct 2016.			
	intervention.				
	Boys intervention package				
	implemented.				
	Introduction of GCSE	Progress of students at	Use of GL assessment to	CL/MH	At each assessment point (half termly)
Key stage 3 PP	questions and mark	key stage 3 impacts on	monitor progress		At half termly work scrutiny
students show	schemes, through LWOL, at	outcomes at key stage 4	Lesson observations		At the end of the academic year when
evidence of rapid	key stage 3.	Teacher assessment and	Work scrutiny		the second GL assessment takes place
progress as a result of	Revision guides/materials	challenge at key stage 3			Following the summer term exams
good or better	available for KS3 students.	requires the same rigour			Following lesson observations
teaching	Catch up and introduction	as key stage 4.			
	of new intervention	Literacy is a barrier to			
	packages in maths.	achievement across the			
	'Bespoke' and	key stages			
	'Breakthrough' groups				
	introduced across key stage				
	3 for those 'not secondary				
	ready'.				

	Academy wide literacy						
	strategy is in place and						
	being embedded.						
	Total budgeted cost						
ii. Targeted su	upport						
ii. Targeted su	μροιτ						
Desired outcome	Chosen action /	What is the evidence	How will you ensure	Staff lead	When will you review		
			non nin you onouro	oluli louu	, jeu letten		
	approach	and rationale for this	it is implemented	•••••	implementation?		

Students attend	Intervention and support by	Attendance for PP	Regular monitoring of	RR/ MH	Half termly attendance points
school regularly, and	the attendance officer on a personalised basis	students is below non-PP	attendance		
as a result, their		across the year groups by	Feedback from		
progress increases	Rewards for good	2-3%	attendance officer and		
	attendance i.e. trip to Alton		Progress Leaders		
	Towers				
	Unauthorised holidays are				
	fined by the authority				
	£50 uniform voucher for all				
	students				

	Regular meetings with						
	Governors and Progress						
	Leaders						
			Total bu	udgeted cost	£135762.00		
iii. Other appro	oaches						
Desired outcome	Chosen action /	What is the evidence	How will you ensure	Staff lead	When will you review		
	approach	and rationale for this	it is implemented		implementation?		
		choice?	well?				
Students have high	Access to independent	Student voice shows low	Retention from Y11 to	CL/MHa	Termly through PL meetings		
aspirations and goals	careers advice and guidance	aspirations	sixth form figures rise				
that reach beyond	Liaison with Nottingham	Area of high deprivation	from 36% to 46%				
their current	and Lincoln universities so	where unemployment	Monitoring of tutor time				
circumstances and	that parents and students	and those who have	Student voice				
will enhance their	are fully aware of	attended higher and	% of students aspiring to				
future lives	opportunities	further education are	go to university				
	Mentoring through	below the national	increases				
	university	Numbers of students who					
	Member of staff responsible	are 'first generation					
	for SMSC						

Counselling available	university students' are			
CCF and D of E funded	high			
Educational visits and trips				
fully funded i.e. theatre				
Y10/11 support with				
applications for sixth form				
Monitoring progress to				
targets is timely and				
intervention is put in place,				
as needed				
Tutor time will have				
dedicated aspirational				
sessions				
		Total bu	dgeted cost	£38465.50

6. Review of expe	enditure			
Previous Academic	2016-17			
Year				
iv. Quality of tea	ching for all			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost

Exam strategy is embedded	PP achievement was	Measure	2014-	2015-	2016-	Intervention makes a clear difference to PP students.	£55,166.00
in to every lesson so that	a whole academy		15	16	17	The lesson learnt is that quality first teaching needs	
the gap in achievement	priority in	PP English	21.5%	42.9%	36.8%	to ensure the intervention is not so intense.	
between PP and non-PP	identifying and	and				Consistency of exam strategy delivered in lessons	
begins to close	tackling under-	mathematics				needs to be embedded.	
	performance. CPD	combined					
	targeted to	Non-PP	51.3%	59.1%	62.2%		
	intervention using	English and					
	the PiXL model	mathematics					
	Half termly pupil	combined					
	progress meetings	PP 5 A*-C	40%	61%	45.6%		
	with SLT	grades					
	Termly pupil	Non-PP 5	66.4%	76%	75.6%		
	progress meetings	A*-C grades					
	with Governors	PP EBacc	6.2%	13%	5.9%		
		Non-PP	23%	23%	28.4%		
		Ebacc					
		PP A8	36.89	35.63	37.44		
		Non-PP A8	47.48	42.20	49.32		
		PP Progress	-0.57	0.16	-0.37		
		8					
		Non-PP	-0.15	0.18	0.03		
		Progress 8					
		PP 1 A*/A	27.7%	85.7%	79.4%		
		grade					
		Non-PP 1	46%	92.7%	90.5%		
		A*/A grade					
		PP 3 A*/A	6.2%	16.1%	7.4%		
		grade					
		Non-PP 3	16.8%	21.8%	18.9%		
		A*/A grade					

		The % of lessons judged to be good or better has increased. Ofsted judged the quality of teaching, learning and assessment as good.		
v. Targeted suppo Desired outcome	rt Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Use of intervention assistants in English, maths and attendance impact on outcomes and rates of attendance	Intervention assistants used in maths and English to work with KS4 under achievers Attendance officer had a core group of students to target and work with Use of rewards as incentives for improved behaviour and attendance at intervention classes. Support from the school cou8nsellor, as appropriate.	PP attendance was 93% Y11 PP attendance was 96% Please see data as above Ofsted judged outcomes as 'good' PP students who worked with the maths and English intervention assistants made good progress	Have a greater emphasis on KS3 now the results are improving at KS4 Increase accountability of attendance with PLs and attendance officer PP attendance for younger years needs to be more rigorous, in line with KS4.	£142,377.00
vi. Other approach	es			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost

		pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.		
PP spend is more closely monitored and linked to outcomes so that there is greater equality between PP and non-PP students	Bids made by departments for PP money Whole academy tracking system refined Termly Governor's meetings Opportunities for trips and visits to broaden students experiences Resources selected by departments were achievement driven and supported their progress.	As table in outcomes – funding had greater impact on student achievement and attendance (particularly in Y11) Evidenced in OfSted inspection as a good use of PP money	Refine the process further and provide support in a more timely manner, having learnt from the previous year.	£39,542.00

7. Additional detail					
PP Spend and interventions 2017-2018					
All students					
Annual £50 uniform contribution					
CCF uniform					
Technology resources i.e. food ingredients and other materials required					
Non-residential Educational visits					
Access to appropriate counselling, as needed i.e. 'draw and talk'					
1:1 independent careers advice					
Identified on all staff seating plans					

Priority access to maths/English intervention assistants, as required Breakfast club Rewards for attendance at booster sessions, revision clubs etc Support from the attendance officer, as required KS4 students GCSE revision guides and resources for all subjects Prioritised on the pupil tracker to identify any under-achievement and high priority intervention Included in the 'Team Challenge' reward scheme Food Technology students receive dishware to ensure grades are not affected by resources Attendance at the 'Science Live' event to prepare students for A level English Literature texts for each students KS3 Students Bespoke or breakthrough intervention as needed Geography trip to the Holderness Coast Maths trip to Southwell racecourse Easy to access dictionaries and thesaurus' for those below age-related expectation